A point-to-set principle for separable metric spaces

Elvira Mayordomo

Universidad de Zaragoza, Iowa State University

Waterloo, June 6th 2018

Why do we effectivize a mathematical concept A?

- Because *effA* can be useful when dealing only with effective objects
- Because *effA* approximates A (hopefully in a known an useful way)
- Because for interesting and simple objects *effA* is equivalent to the classical concept *A*

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Why do we effectivize a mathematical concept A?

- Because *effA* can be useful when dealing only with effective objects
- Because *effA* approximates A (hopefully in a known an useful way)
- Because for interesting and simple objects *effA* is equivalent to the classical concept *A*

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Is this all?

Why do we effectivize a mathematical concept A?

Because relativization of effA gives you back A

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Hausdorff dimension
- Lutz's effective dimension for Cantor and Euclidean spaces
- Point-to-set principle
- Effective dimension and point-to-set principle in separable spaces

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Hausdorff definition of dimension

Let ρ be a metric on a set X.

• For $E \subseteq X$ and $\delta > 0$, a $\underline{\delta}$ -cover of \underline{E} is a collection \mathcal{U} such that for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$, diam $(U) < \delta$ and

 $E\subseteq \bigcup_{U\in\mathcal{U}}U.$

• For $s \ge 0$, $H^{s}(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf_{\mathcal{U} \text{ is a } \delta\text{-cover of } E} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{diam}(U)^{s}$

The <u>Hausdorff dimension</u> of $E \subseteq X$ is $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E) = \inf \{ s | H^{s}(E) = 0 \}$.

Lutz's effective Hausdorff dimension: Kolmogorov complexity

Definition For a finite string w, and a universal Turing machine U,

$$\mathrm{K}_U(w) = \{ |p| | U(p) = w \}$$

This concept is invariant on U up to an additive constant, we drop the U.

Lutz's effective Hausdorff dimension: Kolmogorov complexity

Definition For a finite string w, and a universal Turing machine U,

$$\mathrm{K}_U(w) = \{|p| | U(p) = w\}$$

This concept is invariant on U up to an additive constant, we drop the U.

K(w) is the length of the shortest description from which w can be computably recoverered.

Effective dimension in Cantor space

- $\{0,1\}^\infty$ is the set of infinite binary sequences
- For $x \in \{0,1\}^{\infty}$, $x \upharpoonright n$ the the length *n* finite prefix of x

```
Definition
For every x \in \{0,1\}^{\infty}, E \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\infty},
\operatorname{cdim}(x) = \liminf_{n} \frac{\operatorname{K}(x \upharpoonright n)}{n}.
\operatorname{cdim}(E) = \sup_{x \in E} \operatorname{cdim}(x).
```

Effective dimension in Cantor space

- $\{0,1\}^\infty$ is the set of infinite binary sequences
- For $x \in \{0,1\}^{\infty}$, $x \upharpoonright n$ the the length *n* finite prefix of x

```
Definition
For every x \in \{0,1\}^{\infty}, E \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\infty},
\operatorname{cdim}(x) = \liminf_{n} \frac{\operatorname{K}(x \upharpoonright n)}{n}.
\operatorname{cdim}(E) = \sup_{x \in E} \operatorname{cdim}(x).
```

• Lutz original definition of constructive dimension uses gambling, this is a characterization.

Effective dimension in Cantor and Euclidean spaces

- Very robust concepts, they can be defined using
 - measure theory
 - gambling
 - information theory
- Resource-bounded versions are natural and useful
- It is non necessarily zero and meaningful on singletons.
- By absolute stability effective dimension can be investigated in terms of the dimension of individual points.
- For Σ_2^0 sets, constructive dimension is exactly Hausdorff dimension ...

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Effective dimension in Cantor and Euclidean spaces

- Very robust concepts, they can be defined using
 - measure theory
 - gambling
 - information theory
- Resource-bounded versions are natural and useful
- It is non necessarily zero and meaningful on singletons.
- By absolute stability effective dimension can be investigated in terms of the dimension of individual points.
- For Σ_2^0 sets, constructive dimension is exactly Hausdorff dimension ... For a while this was good enough

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Classical dimension can be characterized in terms of effective dimension (point-to-set principle)

How effective dimension can be used for classical geometry

Classical dimension can be characterized in terms of effective dimension (point-to-set principle)

Theorem (J.Lutz, N.Lutz 2017) For every $E \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\infty}$,

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E) = \min_{B \subseteq \{0,1\}^*} \operatorname{cdim}^B(E).$

Classical dimension can be characterized in terms of effective dimension (point-to-set principle)

Theorem (J.Lutz, N.Lutz 2017) For every $E \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\infty}$,

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E) = \min_{B \subseteq \{0,1\}^*} \operatorname{cdim}^B(E).$

• This theorem allows us to prove classical dimension results using Kolmogorov complexity, already a few very interesting ones (N.Lutz-Stull on generalized Furstenberg sets, N. Lutz on the intersection formula)

Classical dimension can be characterized in terms of effective dimension (point-to-set principle)

Theorem (J.Lutz, N.Lutz 2017) For every $E \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\infty}$,

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E) = \min_{B \subseteq \{0,1\}^*} \operatorname{cdim}^B(E).$$

- This theorem allows us to prove classical dimension results using Kolmogorov complexity, already a few very interesting ones (N.Lutz-Stull on generalized Furstenberg sets, N. Lutz on the intersection formula)
- We can now investigate the dimension of a set in terms of the dimension of its points

Theorem

(Marstrand 1954, Mattila 1975) Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an analytic set. Then for almost every direction e

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{proj}_{e}E) = \min\{\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E), 1\}.$

Theorem

(N. Lutz 2017) Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set with $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E) = \dim_{\mathrm{p}}(E)$. Then for almost every direction e

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{proj}_{e}E) = \min\{\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E), 1\}.$

Theorem

(Marstrand 1954, Mattila 1975) Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an analytic set. Then for almost every direction e

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{proj}_{e}E) = \min\{\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E), 1\}.$

Theorem

(N. Lutz 2017) Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set with $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E) = \dim_{\mathrm{p}}(E)$. Then for almost every direction e

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{proj}_{e}E) = \min\{\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E), 1\}.$

We want to use the point to set principle in other spaces

 Let (X, ρ) be a separable metric space. Let D be a countable dense set and f : {0,1}* → D be surjective

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 Let (X, ρ) be a separable metric space. Let D be a countable dense set and f : {0,1}* → D be surjective

• What is the information content of $x \in X$?

- Let (X, ρ) be a separable metric space. Let D be a countable dense set and $f : \{0, 1\}^* \to D$ be surjective
- What is the information content of x ∈ X?

Definition Let $x \in X, r \in \mathbb{N}$. The Kolmogorov complexity of x at precision r is $K_r^f(x) = \inf \{K(w) \mid \rho(x, f(w)) \le 2^{-r} \}.$

- Let (X, ρ) be a separable metric space. Let D be a countable dense set and $f : \{0, 1\}^* \to D$ be surjective
- What is the information content of $x \in X$?

Definition Let $x \in X, r \in \mathbb{N}$. The Kolmogorov complexity of x at precision r is $K_r^f(x) = \inf \{K(w) \mid \rho(x, f(w)) \le 2^{-r} \}.$

This is an extension of the notion used for reals. For computable metric spaces the idea is inherent in (Melnikov Nies 2013) work on K-trivials

 (X, ρ) is a separable metric space, D is a countable dense set, and $f : \{0, 1\}^* \to D$ surjective Definition Let $x \in X$, $\operatorname{cdim}^f(x) = \liminf_r \frac{\operatorname{K}^f_r(x)}{r}$. Let $E \subseteq X$, $\operatorname{cdim}^f(E) = \sup_{x \in E} \operatorname{cdim}^f(x)$.

 (X, ρ) is a separable metric space, D is a countable dense set, and $f : \{0, 1\}^* \to D$ surjective Definition Let $x \in X$, $\operatorname{cdim}^f(x) = \liminf_r \frac{\operatorname{K}^f_r(x)}{r}$. Let $E \subseteq X$, $\operatorname{cdim}^f(E) = \sup_{x \in E} \operatorname{cdim}^f(x)$.

Both definitions relativize to any oracle B by using $K^B(w)$

Let X be a separable metric space. Let D be a countable dense set and $f: \{0,1\}^* \to D$ be surjective.

Theorem (Main result)

Let $E \subseteq X$. Then

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E) = \min_{B \subseteq \{0,1\}^*} \operatorname{cdim}^{f,B}(E).$

Let $\mathcal{H} = [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ with metric

$$\rho((a_n), (b_n)) = \sum_n |b_n - a_n| 2^{-n}.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Let $\mathcal{H} = [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ with metric $ho((a_n),(b_n)) = \sum_n |b_n - a_n| 2^{-n}.$

• We can take the dense set of finite sequences

$$S = \{(a_n) | a_n = 0 \text{ a.e.} n\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ with metric $ho((a_n),(b_n)) = \sum_n |b_n - a_n| 2^{-n}.$

• We can take the dense set of finite sequences

$$S = \{(a_n) | a_n = 0 \text{ a.e.} n\}.$$

Then for each point

$$\mathrm{K}_r((a_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} 2\mathrm{K}_{r+1}(a_i).$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ with metric $ho((a_n),(b_n)) = \sum_n |b_n - a_n| 2^{-n}.$

• We can take the dense set of finite sequences

$$S = \{(a_n) | a_n = 0 \text{ a.e.} n\}.$$

Then for each point

$$\mathrm{K}_r((a_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} 2\mathrm{K}_{r+1}(a_i)$$

It is clear that for some (a_n), K_r((a_n)) ≥ r², so there are points of infinite cdim in H.

Let $\mathcal{H} = [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ with metric $ho((a_n),(b_n)) = \sum_n |b_n - a_n| 2^{-n}.$

• We can take the dense set of finite sequences

$$S = \{(a_n) | a_n = 0 \text{ a.e.} n\}.$$

Then for each point

$$\mathrm{K}_r((a_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} 2\mathrm{K}_{r+1}(a_i).$$

- It is clear that for some (a_n), K_r((a_n)) ≥ r², so there are points of infinite cdim in H.
- Examples of points with finite dimension, when (a_n) is bounded.

Let $\mathcal{H} = [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ with metric $ho((a_n),(b_n)) = \sum_n |b_n - a_n| 2^{-n}.$

• We can take the dense set of finite sequences

$$S = \{(a_n) | a_n = 0 \text{ a.e.} n\}.$$

Then for each point

$$\mathrm{K}_r((a_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} 2\mathrm{K}_{r+1}(a_i).$$

- It is clear that for some (a_n), K_r((a_n)) ≥ r², so there are points of infinite cdim in H.
- Examples of points with finite dimension, when (a_n) is bounded.
- For unbounded f, there is $a_n \leq f(n)$ with $\operatorname{cdim}_{\square}((a_n)) = \infty$.

- Lutz effectivization of Hausdorff dimension can be generalized to all separable metric spaces via Kolmogorov complexity
- The point-to-set principle allows us to capture classical Hausdorff dimension through the pointwise analysis of the dimension of sets
- Let us use it to solve open problems in fractal geometry

 Jack H. Lutz and Neil Lutz, Algorithmic information, plane Kakeya sets, and conditional dimension, STACS 2017, ACM Transactions on Computation Theory (TOCT), to appear.

• Elvira Mayordomo, A point-to-set principle for separable metric spaces, in preparation

• The effectivization is done from information content

• The effectivization is done from information content

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

• It can be done from measure

- The effectivization is done from information content
- It can be done from measure
- For spaces with a suitable regularity condition it can be done through gambling (pretty useful for resource-bounds)

- The effectivization is done from information content
- It can be done from measure
- For spaces with a suitable regularity condition it can be done through gambling (pretty useful for resource-bounds)
- Similarly **packing dimension** and **exact dimension** can be effectivized for all separable spaces

Exact dimension: Kolmogorov complexity characterization

Let g be increasing in both arguments. For $s \ge 0$, $H^{g,s}(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf_{\mathcal{U} \text{ is a } \delta \text{-cover of } E} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} g(s, \operatorname{diam}(U))$

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}^{(g)}(E) = \inf \left\{ s \, | \mathcal{H}^{g,s}(E) = 0 \right\}.$

Definition Let X be a separable metric space. Let $x \in X$,

$$\operatorname{cdim}_g^f(x) = \inf \left\{ s \left| \exists^{\infty} r \, \operatorname{K}_r^f(x) \leq -\log(g(s, 2^{-r})) \right. \right\}$$

Theorem Let $E \subseteq X$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}^{(g)}(E) = \min_{B \subseteq \{0,1\}^*} \operatorname{cdim}_{g}^{f,B}(E).$$