## Generically Computable Structures

Douglas Cenzer

Workshop on Computability Theory and its Applications, Waterloo, Canada

June, 2018

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Outline

- New notion of *generically computable structures* Faithful generically computable equivalence structures Characterization of equivalence structures with faithful generically computable copies
- Coarsely computable structures
- Generically computable isomorphisms and categoricity
- Generic character
- Joint work with Wesley Calvert and Valentina Harizanov

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Generically computable sets

Jockusch and Schupp introduced these notions (J. London Math. Soc. 2012)

Definition

Let  $S \subseteq \omega$ .

- 1. S is generically computable if there is a partial computable function  $\Phi: \omega \to 2$  such that  $\Phi = \chi_S$  on the domain of  $\Phi$ , and such that the domain of  $\Phi$  has asymptotic density 1.
- 2. S is coarsely computable if there is a computable set T such that  $S \triangle T$  has asymptotic density 0.

There is a coarsely computable c.e. set which is not generically computable and a generically computable c.e. set which is not coarsely computable.

# Density in $\omega \times \omega$

For 
$$A \subseteq \omega$$
,  $\delta(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} |A \cap n|/n$   
Upper density is  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |A \cap n|/n$   
For  $C \subseteq \omega \times \omega$ , let  $\delta(C) = \lim_{n \to \infty} |C \cap (n \times n)/n^2$   
Lemma  
For  $A \subseteq \omega$ ,  $\delta(A) = \delta \iff \delta(A \times A) = \delta^2$ .

On the other hand, we have:

#### Theorem

There is a computable dense  $C \subset \omega \times \omega$  such that for any infinite c.e. set  $A \subset \omega$ ,  $A \times A$  is not a subset of C.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

## Generically Computable Relations

#### Definition

Let R be a relation on  $\omega$ .

- 1. *R* is generically computable if there is a partial computable  $\phi : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow 2$  with  $\Phi = \chi_R$  on the domain of  $\phi$ , and a dense c.e. set *A* with  $A \times A \subseteq Dom(\phi)$ .
- 2. An equivalence relation R is generically computable if there is a p.c.  $\phi : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow 2$  such that  $\phi = \chi_R$  on  $Dom(\phi)$ , and a dense c.e. set A with  $A \times A \subseteq Dom(\phi)$ .
- An equivalence relation R is generically c.e. if there is a c.e. equivalence relation S on a c.e. set B and a dense c.e. set A ⊆ B such that R agrees with S on A × A.
- 4. An equiv. relation R is strongly generically computable if there is a computable equiv. relation S on  $\omega$  and a dense c.e. set A such that R agrees with S on  $A \times A$ .

### Definition

If R is a relation on  $\omega$  and A is a subset of  $\omega$ , we say that A is R-faithful if whenever  $a \in A$  and either R(a, b) or R(b, a), then  $b \in A$ .

A is R-faithful for an equivalence relation R IFF for any R-equivalence class C, either  $C \subseteq A$  or  $C \cap A = \emptyset$ .

R is faithfully generically computable if the set A in the previous definition is R-faithful and similarly for the other notions.

# Computable Equivalence Structures

### Definition

For any equivalence relation E on  $\omega$ , the character  $\chi(E)$  is  $\{(k, n) : E \text{ has at least } n \text{ equivalence classes of size } k\}$ . Character K is unbounded if  $\{k : (k, 1) \in K\}$  is unbounded.

#### Lemma

For any c.e. equivalence relation R on a c.e. set A, the character  $\chi(R)$  is a  $\Sigma_2^0$  set.

### Proposition

For any  $\Sigma_2^0$  character K

- 1. There is a computable equivalence structure with character K and infinitely many infinite classes.
- 2. There is a c.e. structure with character K and one infinite class (or k for any finite k).

## s<sub>1</sub>-Functions

### Definition

The function  $f : \omega^2 \to \omega$  is said to be an  $s_1$ -function if the following hold:

- 1. For every i and s,  $f(i,s) \leq f(i,s+1)$ .
- 2. For every *i*, the limit  $m_i = \lim_{s \to s} f(i, s)$  exists.
- 3. For every *i*,  $m_i < m_{i+1}$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (\omega, E)$  be a c.e. equivalence structure with no infinite equivalence classes and an unbounded character. Then there is a computable  $s_1$ -function f such that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains an equivalence class of size  $m_i$  for all i, where  $m_i = \lim_s f(i, s)$ .

## More about *s*<sub>1</sub>-Functions

#### Lemma

For any  $\Sigma_2^0$  character K which possesses a computable  $s_1$ -function, there is a computable equivalence structure  $\mathcal{E}$  with character K and no infinite equivalence classes.

# Generically Computable Equivalence Relations

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{E} = (\omega, E)$  be an equivalence relation such that either

- 1.  $\mathcal{E}$  has an infinite equivalence class, or
- 2. there is a finite k such that  $\mathcal{E}$  has infinitely many classes of size k, or

3.  $\chi(\mathcal{E})$  has an infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  subset with an  $s_1$ -function. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  has a faithfully strongly generically computable copy.

## Proof

Let B be an infinite class in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Let C have an infinite class A which is a computable dense set, and with  $(\omega \setminus B, E)$  isomorphic to  $(\omega \setminus A, R)$ .

So  $(\omega, R)$  is a copy of  $\mathcal{E}$  and A is R-faithful.

Let  $(\omega, S)$  consist of two infinite classes A and  $\omega \setminus A$ .

Then S is computable and agrees with R on A.

For the second case, take B to consist of infinitely many classes of size k.

For the third case, take *B* to have a  $\Sigma_2^0$  character with an  $s_1$ -function.

# Unfaithful Structures

### Theorem

Every equivalence structure  $\mathcal{E} = (\omega, E)$  has a strongly generically computable copy.

Proof Sketch: This follows from previous Theorem unless the character is unbounded.

Let  $C = \{k : (k, 1) \in \chi(\mathcal{E})\}.$ 

Then choose a class  $B_k$  of size k for each k.

Let B consist of one element from each  $B_k$ .

Let A be a dense computable set and let R be equality on A. Define R on  $\omega \setminus A$  so that  $(\omega, R)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}$ . Define S to be equality.

Then  $(\omega, S)$  is computable and agrees with  $(\omega, R)$  on A.

## Faithful Structures

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{E} = (\omega, E)$  be an equivalence structure. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mathcal{E}$  has a faithfully strongly generically computable copy
- (b)  $\mathcal{E}$  has a faithfully generically computable copy
- (c)  $\mathcal{E}$  has a faithful generically c.e. copy
- (d)  $\mathcal{E}$  has an infinite faithful substructure with a computable copy
- (e)  $\mathcal{E}$  has an infinite faithful substructure with a c.e. copy
- (f) either (i)  $\mathcal{E}$  has an infinite equivalence class, or (ii) there is a finite k such that  $\mathcal{E}$  has infinitely many classes of size k, or (iii)  $\chi(\mathcal{E})$  has an infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  subset with an  $s_1$ -function.

# Coarsely Computable Structures

### Definition

An equivalence structure  $\mathcal{E} = (\omega, E)$  is coarsely computable if there is a computable equivalence relation R and a set A of density one such that for a,  $b \in A$ , aRb  $\iff$  aEb. If (A, E) is a faithful substructure of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is faithfully coarsely computable.

Strongly generically computable implies coarsely computable, so previous results apply.

We will construct a faithfully coarsely computable structure with no faithfully generically computable copy.

## **Examples**

Example: Let  $(\omega, E)$  be the canonical structure with one classe of every finite size kThe equivalence classes of  $(\omega, E)$  are  $\{0\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \ldots$ The first k classes have  $1 + 2 + \cdots + k = k(k+1)/2$  elements. For any set K,  $A_K$  is the classes of size k for  $k \in K$ , under E.

#### Lemma

If K is a dense set, then  $A_K$  is also a dense set.

#### Lemma

For any dense co-infinite K, there is a faithfully coarsely computable structure with character  $\{(k, i) : k \in K, i \leq 2\}$ . Proof: Let  $(\omega, E)$  be as above. Define R to be E on  $A_k$  and to partition  $\omega \setminus K$  into one class of size k for each  $k \in K$ .

# Coarsely but not Generically

### Observation

There is a dense set K with no infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  subset.

For such K we get a faithfully coarsely computable structure with no faithfully generically computable copy.

That is, the character  $\{(k, i) : k \in K, i \leq 2\}$  can have no infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  subset since K has no infinite  $\Sigma_2^0$  subset.

# Generically Computable Functions

### Definition

A function F is generically computable if there is a p.c. function  $\phi$  such that  $\phi = F$  on  $Dom(\phi)$ , and  $Dom(\phi)$  is dense.

### Definition

 $F : A \to B$  is a generically computable isomorphism if there is a p.c.  $\theta$  such that  $F = \theta$  on  $Dom(\phi)$ , and  $Dom(\phi)$  and  $Rng(\phi)$  are both dense.

### Proposition

Structures A and B are generically computably isomorphic if and only if there is an isomorphism  $F : A \to B$  such that both F and  $F^{-1}$  are generically computable.

# **Coarsely Computable Functions**

### Definition

A function F is coarsely computable if there is a total computable  $\phi$  such that  $\{n : F(n) = \phi(n)\}$  is dense.

### Definition

- 1. An isomorphism  $F : A \to B$  is coarsely computable if there is a total computable  $\theta$  such that  $C = \{x : \theta(x) = F(x)\}$  and F[C] are both dense.
- 2. A set isomorphism  $F : A \to B$  is a weakly coarsely computable isomorphism if there is a total computable  $\theta$ which is an isomorphism of the substructure  $C = \{x : \theta(x) = F(x)\}$  to F[C] and both sets are dense.

# Generically Computably Isomorphic Structures

An equivalence structure A is a (1,2)-structure if it consists of infinitely many classes of size 1 and of size 2.

Fact: There are computable (1,2) structures which are not computably isomorphic.

#### Theorem

If A and B are computable (1,2)-structures and the classes of size 2 are dense in each, then A and B are generically computably isomorphic.

Sketch: The classes of size 2 form a c.e. set in each structure.

Let  $\{a_0, a_1\}, \{a_2, a_3\}, \ldots$  enumerate the classes of size 2 in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\{b_0, b_1\}, \{b_2, b_3\}, \ldots$  enumerate the classes of size 2 in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Define  $\phi(a_n) = b_n$  for each *n*. Now extend this arbitrarily to the classes of size 1 to obtain *F*.

## On the Other Hand

#### Theorem

There are computable (1,2)-structure A and B such that the classes of size 1 are dense in each, but A and B are notgenerically computably isomorphic. Sketch: Just let the classes of size 2 compose a simple c.e. set of density 0.

# Coarsely Computably Isomorphic Structures

#### Theorem

If  $\mathcal{A} = (\omega, E_A)$  and  $\mathcal{B} = (\omega, E_B)$  be are isomorphic (1,2)-structures such that the classes of size 1 are dense in both, then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are coarsely computably isomorphic. Sketch: Let  $U_A$  be the classes of size 1 in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $U_B$  the classes of size 1 in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $U = U_A \cap U_B$ .

Then the identity is an isomorphism of  $(U, E_A)$  to  $(U, E_B)$ .

If  $U_A \setminus U$  and  $U_B \setminus U$  have different cardinalities, just remove a subset of density 0 from the larger set.

## A More General Result

### Theorem

Suppose that A and B are computable (1, 2)-structures such that the asymptotic density of the classes of size 2 in each both equal a computable real q. Then A and B are weakly coarsely computably isomorphic.

Idea of Proof: Define the map in stages as we see the proportion of classes of size 2 approach q so the amount of "errors" tends to 0.

Other notions of almost computability

Other equivalence structures beyond (1,2)-structures

Other structures, such as orderings, Boolean algebras, injection structures, *p*-groups

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# THANK YOU